

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,674	02/28/2002	Johannes Bartholomaeus	148/50986	2545
23911	7590 09/11/2007		EXAMINER  OH, SIMON J  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1618	
•• ·· ··	MORING LLP JAL PROPERTY GROUP			
P.O. BOX 143	00 N, DC 20044-4300			
W/151111010	11, 50 200 11 4500			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Signature		10/084,674	BARTHOLOMAEUS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Simon J. Oh	1618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	ine 2007.	•				
2a)⊠		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is	•			
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposit	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1 and 3-67 is/are pending in the applie	cation.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,13,14,16,19,20,22-29,33-54 and 59-61 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11,12,15,17,18,21,30-32,55-58</u>	and 62-67 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
• ===	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner				
٠٠,٥	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :	•				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· •	ed in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau	• • • •	·				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	<del>2</del> 0.				
	•						
		•	•				
Attachmen			•				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/084,674

Art Unit: 1618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Papers Received

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's amendment, response, and petition for extension of time, all received on 19 June 2007.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 1 and 3-67 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for enablement, is hereby withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 1, 3-5, 15, 17, 18, 21, 30-32 and 62-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burnside *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,322,819)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,674

Art Unit: 1618

The rejection of Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18, 21, 30-32, 55-58 and 62-67 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshlack *et al.* (PCT Publication No. WO 99/01111) is maintained.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 19 June 2007 have been fully considered but they are not found to be persuasive.

The previous claim rejections set forth under scope of enablement and anticipation have been withdrawn.

With respect to the rejection under obviousness, the applicant argues that there is no specific teaching, suggestion or motivation to make the instantly claimed invention. However, the decision by the court in KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc. forecloses the argument that such a specific teaching, suggestion, or motivation is required to support a finding of obviousness.

It is well known to those of ordinary skill the art that drug release is influenced by various factors, such as the particular form of the pharmaceutical formulation; particle size of the active agent; the quantity of polymers designed to sustain, extend, or delay release; and solubility characteristics of the active agent. Clearly, within the pending claims, at least the use of specialized polymers to help tailor the release of the active agent is within the scope of the instant claims.

Furthermore, it is known in the art that various combinations of such materials are used in order to create a desired release profile of the active agent. In certain instances, such as for an

Application/Control Number: 10/084,674

Art Unit: 1618

enteric dosage form, the selection of such materials to be used in combination is determined in part by the solubility characteristics of those polymers, in consideration of the changing pH values throughout the gastrointestinal tract. Even polymers of the same chemical formula may be used in combination if they are of different molecular weights.

In the view of the examiner, it is well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to influence the release of an active agent by using different forms of the same active agent that exhibit different solubility characteristics, just as combinations of polymers of different solubility characteristics are used to establish a desired release profile. The selection of salts of the same active agent having different solubility characteristics is a modification that is well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art, for the reasons descried above. Therefore, the prior art rejection for obviousness is maintained.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1618

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0599. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199.(IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Simon J. Oh Examiner

Art Unit 1618